## lent Gellearn

## Data Distribution Portal

## Performance 4-Band Report - ADAM

P1) Pre-Test: 8/1/2014 To 11/30/2014
P1 Count:
1589
P2 Count: 1674
P2) Pre-Test: 8/1/2015 To 11/30/2015

This report looks at all 3rd graders in a district with the designation of "FRM" or free reduced meal. Meta data can be Grade Range: 3 to: 3.99 Sub Group: FRM
easily used for federal or district sub-group analysis.


| Status | Total Score |  | Num \& Op. |  | Measurement |  | Data/Prob. |  | Geometry |  | Algebra |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Above | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.9\% | 3.3\% | 9.2\% | 7.3\% |
| Proficient | 56.8\% | 58.0\% | 72.9\% | 69.9\% | 15.5\% | 22.2\% | 57.0\% | 56.5\% | 46.6\% | 53.9\% | 38.1\% | 39.0\% |
| Approaching Proficient | 32.3\% | 29.5\% | 16.7\% | 17.2\% | 51.1\% | 45.9\% | 26.1\% | 25.3\% | 31.9\% | 24.7\% | 18.7\% | 19.8\% |
| Emergent | 9.9\% | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | 9.6\% | 32.4\% | 30.2\% | 15.0\% | 15.5\% | 20.6\% | 18.2\% | 34.0\% | 33.9\% |

Performance 3-Band Report for DORA K-12
P1) Pre-Test: 8/1/2014 To 11/30/2014
P2) Pre-Test: 8/1/2015 To 11/30/2015

P1 Count: 1775
P2 Count: 1813

This is a Performance Band report for reading. It breaks reading into 6 sub-tests. We see percentages of students in 3 developmental groups. It can be run per site or across multiple sites. In addition, many parameters can be adjusted such as grade levels and student meta data. Sub Group: None Gender: All SPED: Ignore Ethnicity: All

Student Distributions by Developmental Groups


| Status | High-Freq. Words |  | Word Rec. |  | Phonics |  | Spelling |  | Vocabulary |  | Comprehension |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Above | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.3\% | 89.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.5\% | 28.0\% | 18.9\% | 17.8\% | 50.3\% | 43.3\% |
| Proficient | 91.4\% | 90.4\% | 4.5\% | 4.7\% | 83.6\% | 80.8\% | 15.2\% | 17.8\% | 44.3\% | 46.2\% | 17.9\% | 22.4\% |
| Emergent | 8.6\% | 9.6\% | 5.2\% | 6.3\% | 16.4\% | 19.2\% | 53.2\% | 54.2\% | 36.8\% | 36.1\% | 31.8\% | 34.3\% |

## Data Distribution Portal

## Average Scores Report for DORA K-12

P1) Pre-Test: 8/1/2014 To 11/30/2014
P1 Count: 57
P2 Count: 60

This report is looking at average scores within a single grade level. It can be run by principals or by district administrators

Grade Range: 3 to: 3.99


| High-Freq. Words |  | Word Rec. |  | Phonics |  | Vocabulary |  | Spelling |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.29 | 3.39 | 5.73 | 6.73 | 3.36 | 3.64 | 3.49 | 3.68 | 2.14 | 2.19 |

## Data Distribution Portal

## Predictive State Test Proficiency - ELA

Date Range1: 4/1/2018 To 6/15/2018 Date Range 2: 10/30/2018 To 10/30/2018 Date Range 3: 10/30/2018 To 10/30/2018

| Grade Range: 3 to: 8.99 | A custom predictive alignment can be created using a district's own state data <br> aligned to either DORA, ADAM, or DOMA Pre-Algebra. Current, correlation <br> coefficients of ADAM and DORA to the SBAC and PARCC are about 0.70 to 0.85. |
| :--- | :--- |



| Grade | Period | Non-Prof. Num | Prof Num | Non-Prof. \% | Prof \% | Total Count |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 1 | 1186 | 1123 | 51 | 49 | 2309 |
| 4 | 1 | 1347 | 989 | 58 | 42 | 2336 |
| 5 | 1 | 1328 | 930 | 59 | 41 | 2258 |
| 6 | 1 | 1085 | 907 | 54 | 46 | 1992 |
| 7 | 1 | 871 | 967 | 47 | 53 | 1838 |
| 8 | 1 | 864 | 1037 | 45 | 55 | 1901 |



| Category | Total | Num \& Op | Meas. | Dat. | Geo. | Alg. | Total Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Low | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 446 |
| Medium | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.52 | 441 |

This report examines a specific 14 day summer school program that used LGL Math Edge. It shows years of gain based on the grouping of students into two groups: "low" and "medium." "Low" had less than 5.7 hours of use. "Medium" had over 5.7 hours of use. This particular session had extremely impressive gains. In 14 days 441 students averaged 0.29 years of gain in ADAM. ADAM has a 0.82 to 0.85 correlation to PARCC and SBAC.

Start Date: 05/01/2017

Start Date: 07/10/2017

Outlier Level: None

Low:< 5.7 hours use
Med: +5.7 hours use

## Data Distribution Portal

## Class DORA Profile

Number of Students:
179
Date Range: 11/1/2015 To 1/13/2016 Grade Range: 11 To 11.99


| Profiles | Decoding | Vocabulary | Comprehension | Profile Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| A | Low | Low | Low | 10 |
| B | Low | Med-High | Low | 1 |
| C | Med-High | Med-High | Low | 18 |
| D | Med-High | Low | Low | 58 |
| E | Low | Low | Med-High | 2 |
| F | Low | Med-High | Med-High | 2 |
| G | Med-High | Low | Med-High | 40 |
| H | Med-High | Med-High | Med-High | 48 |

This report shows students by their reading profile and can be used to determine which type of curriculum is needed. In this case we are looking at 11th graders at one high school. We see the two biggest intervention groups are students in D and G. The take away is that Vocabulary is the biggest issue with this school's population. Students in G are often put into remedial reading but DORA is able to determine that these students have good decoding and comprehension strategy skills but simply need to work on building academic vocabulary.

This report could be run at the start of the year to analyze areas of focus for grade-level teachers working in their

## leets Gollearn

Average Skills Gap Report


| Numbers | Place-Value | Compa-Order | Add-Whl-Num | Sub-Whl-Num | Mult-Whl-Num | Div-Whl- <br> Num | Fractions | NumTheory | Deci-Oper | Percent | Ratio-Prop | Pos-Neg-Intg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.17 | -0.78 | -0.38 | -0.25 | -0.58 | -0.65 | -0.85 | -1.65 | -0.95 | -0.13 | -0.61 | 0.22 | 0.19 |

[^0]
## Pre-Algebra RTI / MTSS Version: C

Number of Students:
1301
Date Range: 4/1/2016 To 6/30/2016 Grade Range: 8 To 8.99


| Tiers | Algebra Placement Groups | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Math Readiness Course | 311 |
| 2 | Algebra I with Support | 379 |
| 3 | Algebra I Ready (lower conf.) | 244 |
| 4 | Algebra I Ready | 367 |

Sites: Sample
This report can be run by school, multiple schools, or by district. Administrators can click on each bar for a download of the students. There is also a "download all" button that will allow a master list to be downloaded.

By default we have 3 versions. But we can also customized these to your district's needs.

DORA Reading Intervention Screening Report (9th Grade Version)
Number of Students:
376
Date Range: 4/1/2016 To 6/30/2016
Grade Range: 8.5 To 8.99


| Group | Comprehension | Profile Count |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Intervention ELA | 39 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | English I Intensive | 150 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | English I with Support | 64 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | English I (Low vocab) | 54 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | English I | 26 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | English I - Highest | 43 |

Sites: School names listed here.

This report can be run by school, multiple schools, or by district. Administrators can click on each bar for a download of the students. There is also a "download all" button that will allow a master list to be downloaded.

This particular report is a default report. But these categories and thresholds can be customized to your district's needs.


[^0]:    - This sample report would typically be run at each school site for use in grade-level personal learning communities (PLCs). In this example, it was run across an entire district's 6th grade. This report looks just at the Numbers and Operations strand within ADAM. It can be run across the other four ADAM strands as well.
    - This report informs teachers and administrators that their 6th grade high priority areas are fractions, division, and place value. In the case of fractions, we see that students are on average 1.65 years behind. Looking at detailed student data, we know the solution is more difficult because students' skills are actually scattered across a wide range of fractions skills. So the question becomes why are students not learning fractions. Are better materials needed for teaching it? Is teacher training necessary?
    - In the case of place value, it turns out that most students are stuck on learning decimal place value. This is a skill that can easily be targeted. Once targeted, it can provided a big jump or quick removal of this gap.

